

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/011,002	1	2/06/2001	Douglas M. Dillon	PD-970567C	1270	
20991	7590 09/07/2004			EXAMINER		
THE DIRE		OUP INC	HARPER, KEVIN C			
P O BOX 95		Divinion	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
EL SEGUNI	OO, CA	90245-0956	2666			

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					M			
		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)				
•				DILLON, DOUGLAS M.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Kevin C. Har		2666				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, in. a reply within the statutory eriod will apply and will extatute, cause the application.	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ton to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timelthe mailing date of this composed (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	06 December 2001	<u>1</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-	-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for	formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 197-242 is/are pending in the app	olication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>197-242</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been re	eceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		7.7					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•		d in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bu	•						
" 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a	i list of the certified	I copies not receive	a.				
A 44 - •	<i>u</i> ,							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	Intensions Summer:	(PTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5)	Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTC	D-152)			
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2, 4</u> .	6)	Other:					

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claim 226 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 226 is a single means claim not enabled by the specification (see MPEP 2164.08(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 197, 200, 204, 205, 208, 211, 214, 218-219, 222, 225, 226, 229, 233-234, 237 and 240-242 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shen (US 5,946,697).

2. Regarding claims 197, 200, 204, 205, 208, 211, 214, 218-219, 222, 225, 226, 229, 233-234, 237 and 240-242, Shen discloses a method comprising accessing a URL at a first time to obtain a first HTML document (fig. 3, steps 42-44; col. 6, lines 25-28) and at a later time to obtain a second HTML document (col. 6, lines 55-58), and difference compressing the second HTML document as compared

Art Unit: 2666

to the first HTML document (fig. 3, step 62; col. 6, lines 48-54; col. 8, lines 57-66). The data common to the first and second HTML documents is omitted and a reference to where the data is located in the first HTML document is included (col. 6, line 64 through col. 7, line 11 col. 1, lines 18-20). The compressed HTML document or file is decompressed by replacing references to the first HTML document with data from the first HTML document (fig. 3, step 64 and 52; col. 9, lines 11-15). Further regarding claims 211, 214, 218-219, 222, 225, 226, 233-234, 237 and 241-242, the method is performed by an apparatus (fig. 1, item 16 or 22) comprising an inherent receiving unit, and/or an inherent HTML document difference compressor or compression unit, and/or an inherent processing unit or decompressing unit, and/or an inherent determining unit (fig. 3, step 60 or 64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 198-199, 202-203, 206-207, 209-210, 212-213, 216-217, 220-221, 223-224, 227-228, 231-232, 235-236 and 238-239 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns et al. (US 6,324,182) in view of Shen (US 5,946,697).

Regarding claims 198-199, 202-203, 206-207, 209-210, 212-213, 216-217, 220-221, 223-224, 227-228, 231-232, 235-236 and 238-239, Burns discloses a method comprising accessing URLs (col. 4, lines 60-65) to obtain HTML documents and multicasting HTML documents (fig. 1; col. 6, lines 1-9) by an apparatus (fig. 1) having a multicasting unit (item 11). However, Burns does not specifically

Art Unit: 2666

disclose accessing a URL a second and later time to obtain a second HTML document and difference compressing the second HTML document. Shen discloses a method comprising accessing a URL at a first time to obtain a first HTML document (fig. 3, steps 42-44; col. 6, lines 25-28) and at a later time to obtain a second HTML document (col. 6, lines 55-58), and difference compressing the second HTML document as compared to the first HTML document (fig. 3, step 62; col. 6, lines 48-54; col. 8, lines 57-66). The compressed HTML document or file is decompressed by replacing references to the first HTML document with data from the first HTML document (fig. 3, step 64 and 52; col. 9, lines 11-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to difference compress a second HTML document obtained from a URL in the invention of Burns in order to conserve network bandwidth (Shen, col. 2, lines 30-38). Further regarding claims 212-213, 216-217, 223-224, 227-228, 231-232, 235-236 and 238-239, in Shen, the method is performed by an apparatus (fig. 1, item 16 or 22) comprising an inherent receiving unit, and/or an inherent HTML document difference compressor or compression unit, and/or an inherent processing unit or decompressing unit, and/or an inherent determining unit (fig. 3, step 60 or 64).

Claims 201, 215 and 230 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shen (US 5,946,697) in view of Imai (US 6,047,126).

4. Regarding claim 201, 215 and 230, Shen discloses referring to the start and end of unchanged sections of an HTML document (col. 6, line 64 through col. 7, line 1; col. 8, lines 33-37). However, Shen does not disclose identifying a starting byte and an ending byte of an HTML document. Imai discloses referring to the starting and ending byte position of information in an HTML document (figs. 2, 6 and 10; col. 8, lines 53-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to refer to the starting and ending byte positions of information within an

Art Unit: 2666

HTML document in the invention of Shen in order to properly or precisely format information for

display.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Douglis et al. (US 6,021,426; abstract) and Chan et al. (US 6,178,461; figs. 2-3) each

disclose transmitting difference compressed HTML documents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can

normally be reached weekdays from 11:30 AM to 8:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only (applications must be associated with a customer number). For more

information about the PAIR system, see pair.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Ca Harner

Page 5

September 6, 2004